

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 386 361

RC 020 256

AUTHOR Sheets, Rosa  
TITLE Discipline: Chicano Students' Perceptions. Ethnic Integrity or Dysfunctional Behavior?  
PUB DATE [94]  
NOTE 32p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)  
  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Culture Conflict; \*Discipline; High Risk Students; High Schools; High School Students; \*Mexican Americans; Student Alienation; \*Student Attitudes; \*Teacher Student Relationship  
IDENTIFIERS \*Chicanos

## ABSTRACT

This paper examines Chicano high school students' perceptions of disciplinary actions experienced or witnessed. Interviews and focus groups were conducted in an urban high school with the two Chicano students with the worst disciplinary records and the two with the best disciplinary records. Other data collection included classroom observations, examination of student records, and interviews with four teachers suggested by the four student subjects. Four themes emerged from the data: (1) students perceived school as a place centered on school staff's needs, resulting in feelings of alienation and disempowerment for students; (2) student and teacher perceptions of disciplinary incidents differed with regard to both the substance of the events and specific components of the misbehavior; (3) students perceived injustice in the application of rules due to different sets of values, attitudes, and beliefs held by students and teachers; and (4) knowledge of the disciplinary consequence did not influence student actions. Contains 50 references. (SV)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

## DISCIPLINE: CHICANO STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS

## Ethnic Integrity or Dysfunctional Behavior?

Rosa Sheets

University of Washington

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Rosa Sheets

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**ABSTRACT**

This study examines Chicano students' perceptions of disciplinary actions experienced or witnessed. A qualitative methodology, including focus groups, semi-structured interviews and field work {classroom observations, students' school records and teacher interviews}, examines operative factors during student/teacher confrontations. Students {N = 4} were selected from an urban secondary school. The data reveals that these students perceive school as a place centered on school staff's needs resulting in feelings of alienation and disempowerment for the students. These students described the differences of perceptions between themselves and teachers on two distinct levels: the substance of the disciplinary event and their conceptual view of specific components of the misbehavior as opposed to what they perceive as the general, "whole package," account stated by staff. Confrontations are described as a result of perceived injustices in the application of rules when different sets of values, attitudes and beliefs are held by students and teachers. Knowledge of the disciplinary consequence did not influence their decisions to comply.

Can knowledge emerging from ethnic minority students' perspective contribute to understanding why students from groups of color are most 'at risk' behaviorally? Since these students experience a disproportionately high level of disciplinary action (Williams, 1992), what is their role in addressing the disciplinary issue? Placing the focus on student perceptions assumes that they are active participants in the educational process, and that they influence classroom events as much as they are affected by them (Schunk, 1992). Therefore, current theories of classroom practices and policies influencing and, perhaps inadvertently, causing behavioral conflict must not only be examined contextually and socially but also in relation to the attitudes, beliefs and perceptions of the participants. This includes the analysis of students' thoughts and beliefs about self, teachers and discipline, which is the purpose of this study.

The research questions and theoretical scholarship in the areas of discipline, perception and cultural discontinuities guiding the study are presented. First a description of the methodology and study limitations follows. The paper concludes with the results, discussion and significance of the research.

### **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

Six questions guided the research: (1) As perceived by students and teachers, what interpersonal factors involving different sets of values, attitudes and beliefs influence student/teacher conflict? (2) As perceived by students and teachers, what procedural factors involving disagreement over stated rules and classroom management issues influence student/teacher conflict? (3) As perceived by students and teachers what substantive factors involving incompatible goals influence student/teacher conflict? (4) Are the factors causing disciplinary problems perceived differently by the student and the teacher? (5) When and why do students choose not to follow traditional school rules? And (6), is behavior influenced by the student's ethnic identity, racial attitude, self-esteem and level of academic attainment?

### **CONCEPTUALIZING THE RESEARCH PROBLEM**

Literature describing the disparity in perceptions of teachers and students (Brophy & Good, 1986; Schunk & Meece, 1992; Wigfield & Harold, 1992) indicates that perhaps this variance in perceptions may be a factor in behavioral conflicts that result, in part from these perceptions. New paradigms, explaining mismatches in interactional patterns of home and

school cultures, may provide a theoretical framework for exploring what occur when the beliefs, values and norms of home and ethnic culture not only differ but are in opposition to that of schooling (Boykin, 1986; Au, 1994; Shade, 1994; Gay, 1994). The scholarly literature in these areas is helpful in conceptualizing the problem - discipline, perceptions and cultural discontinuities.

### **Discipline**

Discipline is perceived as a major problem in schools (Garza-Lubeck, 1992; Elam, Rose & Gallup, 1993) and students from groups of color are identified as most 'at risk.' Disruptive problems are disproportionately prevalent among ethnic minorities and often culminate in high drop-out rates (Leriché, 1992; Velez, 1989; Fine, 1986). Researchers documenting the influences contributing to the high incidence of behavioral problems in schools include causal factors such as poverty (Finn, 1989) and schools' policies and practices leading to low student achievement and alienation (Oakes, 1985; Nieto, 1992).

Studies on teacher competence describe classroom management styles that influence disciplinary issues (Williams, 1992; Leriché, 1992). For example, Leriché (1992) alleges that teachers who rely on power to control and manipulate their students are less successful disciplining students than teachers who are able to gain respect from their students and thus are able to use a legitimate authority role that requires no social justification. Mitchell and Spady (1983) describe modes of classroom management where teachers use either honor or shame to reward or punish students thus personally accepting or rejecting students. They add that rules that do not inspire voluntary cooperation control students through coercion.

Graham and Hudley (1992) argue that students' perception of the conflict act must be understood before mediation and change can occur. For example, teaching aggressive students to control their anger, rather than understanding students' perceptions of the intent of the negative act, is not as effective as interventions designed to teach students to realize that anger is an appropriate emotional response only when the negative action is intentional.

### **Disparities Between Teacher and Student Perception**

The literature describing the disparity in perceptions of teacher and students indicates that students' and teachers' perceptions affect their actions and may help explain the resulting

disciplinary outcome (Brophy & Good, 1986; Schunk & Meece, 1992; Wigfield & Harold, 1992). This research describes how teacher perceptions influence students' achievement and may act as self-fulfilling prophecies (Brophy & Good, 1983; Rosenthal & Jacobson, 1968). These teacher perceptions are relevant to disciplinary issues especially as directed towards children of poverty and color. Phelan (1987) draws a causal relationship between poverty and low academic achievement. He also claims that low academic achievement causes delinquent behavior and precipitates student dropout. Negative teacher attitudes toward low income students and the assignment to remedial classes or tracking are also correlated with aggression and delinquency (Reed, 1988; Rist, 1970; Oakes, 1985; Hamilton, 1986).

According to Schunk and Meece (1992), a limitation of past research regarding the influence of the teachers' perception on students' self-concept, achievement, and behavior was that it offered a limited view of the role of student perceptions of the classroom. They assert that although students' beliefs are influenced by classroom events, student perceptions affect and can mediate classroom events. Social cognitive theory also confirms the importance of student perception by emphasizing that students acquire knowledge, rules, beliefs and attitudes by observing others (Bandura, 1986). However, if students' perceptions affect learning and behavior, issues of difference and degrees of acceptance of the social and cultural structures of schooling must be addressed, especially if these differences are in opposition to the students ethnic and cultural mores.

### **Cultural Discontinuities**

Conflicts between students and teachers may be rooted in the cultural discontinuities operating in pluralistic classrooms (Gay, 1981; Shade, 1994; Boykin, 1994). Cultural discontinuity (Spindler, 1963) is based on the premise that students from diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds often do poorly in school because the school culture and the home culture often clash. This is due to possible misunderstandings in the interactions between students and teachers due to mis-matches or differences in life-styles, values, experiences and practices in the school and home cultures (Au, 1994; Nieto, 1992; Shade, 1994). Generally, the more harmonious the home and school culture are, the more success is experienced by students

Although these scholars (Au, 1994; Nieto, 1992; Shade, 1994) base their arguments on learning behavior rather than disciplinary issues, the causal relationship between the outcomes of low academic achievement, cultural discontinuities and misbehavior has been established (Quay, 1987; Reed, 1988; Doyle, 1986). Students who do not achieve academically often are not engaged in the learning process. Doyle (1986) explains that order, as well as learning, in the classroom is directly related to student engagement on task. Thus, students who are not engaged on the task in school may begin with misbehaviors that are perceived to be less serious. This includes student actions categorized as 'disruptive behavior' or a misbehavior that is considered inappropriate within the classroom setting, such as talking loudly, walking around the room and 'fooling around.' According to Charles (1989), most of the teacher time in urban classrooms dealing with misbehavior is expended on this type of student disruptive actions. However, as the frustration and alienation resulting from non-achievement build, this less serious behavior may escalate to hostile behavior toward authority resulting in student aggression prompting suspensions, expulsions and high drop out rates (Quay, 1987; Reed, 1988).

Cole and Scribner (1974) conclude that personal values, attitudes, beliefs and perceptions allow students to interpret and justify their decisions and actions to reflect the social interactional patterns of their culture. A possible response is the students' decision to resist the institutionalized disparities caused by cultural discontinuities and instead choose to maintain their ethnic integrity when there is a clash of values (Gay, 1981; Boykin, 1994). Therefore, culture influences students' perceptions, judgments and behaviors and determines how they adapt to their social environment (Shade, 1994).

The problem for educators is how to prevent student misbehavior or what can they do to suppress and redirect the behavior once it occurs (Charles, 1989). Since, teachers in their disciplinary role reflect and develop the attitudes and thinking patterns of the dominant society, students may not necessarily consider their behavior inappropriate. When and why students choose not to follow traditional rules may indicate that students are affirming their sense of self worth by acting and choosing actions reflecting cultural and internal values (Boykin, 1986).

Self-esteem (differentiated from self-concept, the student's judgment of self relative to others) is defined as a personal judgment of one's worthiness, capability, success or

significance and the accompanying feelings of approval and disapproval (Pascarella & Terezini, 1991). Bandura (1986) suggests that the beliefs individuals hold about themselves determine their choice of behavior and influences how they respond. If students do not perceive themselves as performing according to the teacher's expectations, they will not develop adaptive strategies that allow them to conform to teachers' demands. Furthermore, if students perceive themselves as unsatisfactory, frustrated, angry or inferior, they may respond by demanding attention, seeking revenge or reacting defensively or aggressively (Chickering & Reisser 1993). This can cause teachers to be annoyed and/or threatened, which may result in punitive actions (Charles, 1989). If students believe the teachers' disciplinary action to be unjust, anger is the emotion that prevails when they perceive themselves as not responsible for a negative outcome and yet are blamed by school staff (Graham & Hudley, 1992).

Thus, the students' perceived self identity, their perception of the teacher's behavior, qualities and values as well as the teacher's perception and expectation of the student's behavior are directly related to the decisions made (Schunk, 1992). These perceptions are influenced by personal attributes such as ethnicity, culture and socio-economic class and situational characteristics that affect both the teachers and students' behavior. The actions taken by teachers and individual students in turn affect the perceptions and behavior of others in the school environment (Schunk, 1992).

Doyle (1986) concludes that teachers' and students' perceptions on disciplinary issues are dissimilar and result in different outcomes and consequences. This may not be the result of incompetence or differential treatment toward specific students, rather it is due to the contextual specificity of the rules when applied in the classroom (Doyle, 1986). Studies show that misbehavior can result from the incongruities between White middle class expectations of schooling and the cultural norms of minority students (Florio & Schultz, 1979; Au, 1994).

Gay (1981) argues that classroom conflict results when interethnic students and teachers interact using culturally determined goals, values, attitudes, beliefs and behavioral patterns that differ. She identifies three types of conflict operating in culturally pluralistic classrooms. She theorizes that conflict interactions among teachers and students in culturally pluralistic classrooms include: procedural (conflict involving disagreements over courses of action such



as "how" stated rules are reached and classroom management issues), substantive (conflict involving incompatible goals, such as "what" grade is acceptable, make up assignments or tests, what are the social goals of students and teachers) and interpersonal (involving conflict when different sets of values, attitudes and beliefs are held by different groups, such as communication styles, respect, love and perceived injustices).

### METHODOLOGY

The examination of some social problems is best accomplished through qualitative methods that allow the researcher to obtain accurate data by observing and talking to people in the situation (Glaser, 1969). Bryman (1988) asserts that the *sine qua non* of qualitative studies is the commitment of explicating the participants' perception and/or interpretation of social reality. This indicates a fidelity to the phenomena under study that requires a cultural description of the meanings of the phenomena to the participants. Silverman (1992) adds that qualitative research can be a legitimate methodological preference to examine a social phenomenon because it provides a broader version of theory by encouraging researchers to examine a social phenomenon as a procedural affair.

This study, using a qualitative methodology, examined students' perceptions of discipline. Discipline is defined as misbehavior that is considered inappropriate within a specific setting. According to Doyle (1986) the key to understanding discipline is to view it as students do within the classroom context. From this perspective misbehavior is visible, public, contagious and perceived and judged by the teacher as competing or threatening the activity of the moment. Thus, Doyle separates issues of classroom management from issues of discipline. For example, the "no talking out of turn" is a rule designed to enhance classroom management. However, students and teachers may perceive this rule differently or even feel it is applied unfairly or inconsistently. Teachers may judge and act differently toward a student whom they perceive is advancing the lesson by "talking out of turn" versus the student they perceive to be delaying or slowing down the lesson. In turn students' reaction to the teacher's act may be based on their perception of what is just or unjust, or how they judge the teachers' competence and inconsistency. Thus misbehavior may not be the property of the action, rather it is the judgment of an action in context. Therefore, to address the issue of discipline, the

process that is used to determine the action taken as well as students' perspective cannot be left out of the analysis and interpretation of what influences, produces, controls and affects what is ultimately judged to be appropriate or inappropriate behavior. The complexity of the student/teacher interaction necessitates a qualitative methodology to study discipline as a substantive issue, and in particular discipline as perceived by Chicano students.

Past research on discipline, using a quantitative tradition, has been directed to questions examining how classroom order and management are maintained (Doyle, 1986). In other words how can teachers eliminate and correct disciplinary problems in order to "get on" with the act of teaching. Studies have documented and identified disciplinary problems that interfere with the teachers' ability to teach (Cruickshank, 1981). Maintaining control was identified as the major issue causing teachers stress, exhaustion and frustration. This quantitative research contributes to the content base of disciplinary problems, but it does not address why students misbehave. It does not take into account the personal attributes of teachers and students affecting interactions in the classroom. Allowing participants to express their perceptions from their own frame of reference can provide in-depth information of the process taking place. This study acknowledges that different individuals in the same social setting are likely to have varying perspectives, and aims to capture multifaceted accounts.

### **Participant Selection**

The study took place in a high school in an urban school district. To select the student-participants (N = 4), a district generated computer printout listed the Latino students in the school. The printout was screened to remove students with a South American, Central American, Puerto Rican, Mexican and Cuban heritage but who were not native U.S. born. The focus was on a specific cultural group, Chicanos.

Two of the students, one male and one female, had the highest number of disciplinary actions, and two had the least occurrences of disciplinary actions. Criteria for selection did not include grade level and used the frequency (total number of suspensions and expulsions) and severity of the misbehavior. The range of experiences of these students provided a greater understanding of the differing conditions under which disciplinary issues operate, including how, where, when and why they take place. The student-participants of this study were

selected purposefully and conceptually in order to provide the breadth of information needed. These students' disciplinary problems were considered representative of the range of disciplinary problems students experience.

Hammersley (1990) cited in Silverman (1992) defined validity as "truth: interpreted as the extent to which an account accurately represents the social phenomena to which it refers" (p. 57). Triangulation as a form of validation in qualitative research will be used. Triangulation (Denzin, 1970) involves using multiple sources of evidence to generate data to assure the reduction of bias from one source (Miles & Huberman, 1984). The use of triangulation assumes that the researcher begins from a theoretical perspective and chooses methods to obtain data from varied sources that will give an account of the structure and meaning from that perspective (Fielding & Fielding, 1986). The aggregation of data will provide a more complete picture. In this study, to capture the perception of students regarding disciplinary problems experienced in schools, data from classroom observations was simultaneously combined with document analysis (student records) and participants interviews.

Thus, in order to provide a balance of the type of information generated from the classroom observation and teacher interview, teachers (N = 4) were student-selected. Each student-participant selected his or her first and second choice of the classrooms in which to be observed and the teachers to be interviewed. Students chose teachers they liked best and/or the classrooms in which they felt they were most successful and affirmed. All the students' first choices were used. Selecting the teachers at random might have included teachers who the students were indifferent toward or perhaps disliked. This might bias the students' interactions in the classroom during the observation as well as interfere with the teacher's perspective regarding the students' behavior. Most importantly it would not meet the variety of perspectives needed from the data sources as required by triangulation. Therefore, if the selected students identify teachers whom they perceive to be fair, effective and accepting of them in the application of classroom rules, this gives another position to the data that reduces bias of the sources of the data set. The assumption is made that these teachers meet students' expectations of competence and fairness in classroom interactions.

#### **Data Collection Procedures**

The design included semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and observations. According to Miles and Huberman (1984), a characteristic of qualitative research is that analysis occurs throughout the process; therefore, the data collection and analysis must be interwoven from the beginning. The collection dates and events in this study were purposefully sequenced and interspersed with time for data reduction, time to draw conclusions and test conclusions through other analysis or new rounds of data collection. For example, this study allowed the researcher to collect data, transcribe the data, assess the meaning and refine future collection of the data based on prior field findings. The sequence of activities was designed to take maximum advantage of the qualitative tradition of continual analysis.

The initial interview set the stage. The focus group contained a major portion of the raw data. Observations and examination of student records added contextual knowledge about the student/teachers behavior. The teacher's interview provided another perspective on the student's behavior. The final interview occurred after the data from the interviews, focus groups, observations and student records were transcribed and reviewed and probing questions further clarified, confirmed or disconfirmed evidence of the emerging assertions.

**Semi-structured Interview:** Interviews were the first and last activity in this study. Each participant was involved in an initial interview and a final interview at the conclusion of the study. The initial interview established an interpersonal relationship with the students and provided biographical data such as age, grade, language proficiencies, and schools attended. Inquiry of past referrals, suspensions, expulsions or appeals of disciplinary action was made. The participants described and explained a referral, suspension, expulsion or appeal of their choice. Students described the best and worse moment in their educational life in high school. They were encouraged to explain why they remember these events. When the participants choose to tell an event that was not 'classroom' related, or if they stated there was nothing good or bad for them in schooling experiences, additional probing helped them remember an experience. The initial interview was also used to schedule subsequent activities.

When the final interview took place, transcriptions of the initial interview, focus groups, classroom observations, school records and teacher interviews were available for the participants to peruse. As a result of the on-going analysis throughout the study, participants

elaborated on selected parts of these documents in order to clarify and understand emerging assumptions and/or inconsistencies found in recurring patterns and themes. Thus, the final interview provided additional in-depth information regarding the beliefs and perceptions of the participants regarding the disciplinary issues previously described. It explored and probed for feelings, beliefs and motives, thereby "seeing" why they acted in the manner in which they did. The interviews took one to one and a half hours. The raw data from the interviews were transcribed and coded by identifying categories, themes, and events.

**Focus Group:** The focus group engaged in a conversation model. Researchers who have used this technique find that it enhances recall, reveals more information, encourages participants to higher levels of dialogue, encourages dynamic group interactions that improve the quality of responses, does not limit the scope of responses, elicits a natural form of probing from the participants rather than the researcher and encourages students' perspectives in a socially natural pattern (Sapp & Temares, 1993; Hendershott & Wright, 1993; Byers, Pessy, & Wilcox, 1991). In addition, King and Mitchell (1990) argue that the "group conversation" reduces tension, affirms shared cultural perceptions, and provides a way to critically examine the participants' emotions and experiences with the aim of contributing to social problem solving. They argue that this group approach facilitates discussion of deeply felt issues by allowing participants to share experiences which contrast with the Eurocentric individualistic approach relying on written or single participant/researcher interactions.

In this study there were two focus group sessions. The first focus group used Focus Group Instrument Part I that solicited descriptions of personal disciplinary experiences as well as descriptions of actual classroom conflict witnessed or experienced. The second focus group used Focus Group Instrument Part II that asked participants' opinion of why confrontations occur, and how they can be avoided or ameliorated. Each focus group was video taped, transcribed, coded and written as a single protocol for purposes of interpretative analysis. The raw data from the focus group was transcribed and double checked for accuracy by listening to tapes after each transcription was entered into the computer.

**Classroom Observations:** The descriptive data generated through classroom observations provided information about the way the participants interacted with each other,

other students and the classroom environment. The descriptive data from observations provided a basis for further analysis of specific behaviors that were probed during final interviews.

Each student was observed once in a classroom setting. The subject matter was determined by the students' choice of teacher. The male participants chose teachers who taught science (first period) and language arts (third period). The female participants chose teachers who taught science (third period) and language arts (sixth period). There were two white female and two white male teachers. The aim of the students' observations was to gather a primary source of data about their behavior in a naturally occurring context of schooling. The observation provided additional information for possible discussion during the final semi-structured interview. It was another data source for viewing students' and teachers' behaviors.

**School Records:** Examination and documentation of each student's school records were made with special attention given to factors identified by the review of research literature on discipline indicating the possible presence of behavioral issues. These factors included suspensions, expulsions, low academic achievement, excessive absences and non-participation in extracurricular activities. A summary of each students' disciplinary school profile was made and shown to the student at the final interview. Student comments were recorded and probing on specific elements of the students' records were made based on questions formulated as a result of the on-going data analysis.

### **Data Analysis**

Analysis of the data was systematic. Spradley's (1979) suggestions of keeping notes in separate categories: short notes made at the time of observation, expanded notes made as soon as possible after the observation, a separate section to record ideas or questions that arise and a separate record of analysis and interpretation was used. A separate pre-analysis summary of the observations, school records and teacher interviews were made.

The initial descriptive coding used the conceptual framework developed by Gay (1981) categorized three types of conflict, procedural (P), substantive (S) and interpersonal (I). These initial descriptive coding categories provided an efficient and effective data reduction process for interpretative analysis that documented emerging themes and patterns of conflict.



Code-recode consistencies and inter-rater coding were used for definitional clarity, reliability and validity checks (Miles & Huberman, 1984). For example, slices of the raw data from each data source were coded twice, then compared for consistency and researcher error. Inter-rater coding was established with one other individual coding the raw data. Twenty-five percent of the raw data was coded by two raters for a minimum of 90% accuracy.

The theory testing used the standard method described by Fielding (1988). In order to see if the hypothesis related to the data, two basic steps occurred: the use of constant comparison between cases and the search for deviant cases. Both of these relied on methods of counting. The process of analysis included classifying and categorizing the data into conceptual categories that provided evidence to make assertions. First, this involved identifying relationships, patterns and themes. Frequency or counting the "number of times" something happens was one way used. These "clusters" or distributions were visually organized by 'matrix tables' which assisted in drawing and verifying conclusions. For example, how often and from what sources were there evidence of student alienation as a result of disciplinary issues. It was these patterns and themes that supported or did not support either a particular or general, descriptive or explanatory assertion emerging from the data. Miles and Huberman (1984) and Silverman (1993) argue that looking for negative evidence or subjecting the evidence to every possible test is a necessary technique for disconfirming the evidence thus providing the conditions to confirm and demonstrate the stages necessary to develop and test propositions. In this way the data became "words" or statements asserting something.

### LIMITATIONS

Teachers' perceptions were solicited only after the classroom observation. They were not interviewed throughout the study, therefore, data from this source was limited. Only one classroom observation was made, perhaps this was not representative of the students' and teachers' behavior. The second focus group discussion had been previously scheduled and was held as scheduled immediately after the two male participants had been suspended, the suspension appealed and then the suspension turned into an "emergency expulsion" that overruled the appeal. This created an urgency for the students to vent their emotions. There is

also the possibility that the focus group discussions might have been influenced by "group think" and peer pressure.

## RESULTS

Four themes emerged from the data: (1) The data reveal that these students perceive school as a place centered on school staff's needs resulting in feelings of alienation and disempowerment for the students. (2) These students described the differences of perceptions between themselves and teachers on two distinct levels: the substance of the disciplinary event and their conceptual view of specific components of the misbehavior as opposed to what they perceive as the general, "whole package" account stated by staff. (3) The students described conflict as a result of perceived injustices in the application of rules when different sets of values, attitudes and beliefs are held by students and teachers. And, (4) knowing the disciplinary consequence did not influence student actions. A description of each participation is followed by elaboration on each theme. The names of the participants have been changed to protect their privacy.

**Miguel**, age 15, the fourth child of ten lives with both parents. He is thin, fair-skinned with an engaging smile. He was polite and soft spoken. The trendy, hip-hop, baggy clothing he wore accentuated his small physical frame.

As a sophomore he should have completed 9 credits but has 2.35 credits. His GPA is .55 on a 4.0 scale. He was in Chapter 1 programs for the "disadvantaged" in elementary school. When tested for placement in Chapter 1, his records indicated that "bilingualism affects score to some extent." He was almost retained in eighth grade. He is presently failing all six classes.

His attendance profile indicated he was usually absent from class. For example, in April 1994 he was absent 56 times out of 90 times possible. He is consistently late to first period and never attends fourth period.

He was disruptive in elementary school. Miguel was suspended once in middle school for talking in Saturday school. He was given a short term suspension in high school for non-attendance. During the study he was given a 3-day short term suspension that was appealed, overruled and changed to an emergency suspension. He was "charged" with disruptive conduct, non-attendance, disobedience and failure to identify himself (CM1).

**Nina**, age 16, second child of three lives with her mother and stepfather. She is tall, dark, big-boned, "tough" looking with long, dyed, teased, hair-sprayed hair. She wore plain white, ironed t-shirts and men's tan, cotton pants. She is attractive, but looked out of place in this urban school. Perhaps the clothing she wore was in style in the small town where she came from. During the study she confided she was having problems with stepfather and boyfriend.

Nina, a freshman, new to this school 2nd semester, passed her all her classes first semester in her former school, with a 2.57 GPA out of a possible 4.0. She is presently failing all her classes. Because of her movement her school records are incomplete. Although not verified by records, Nina stated she attended a Chapter 1 pullout program in 4th and 5th grade.



Her attendance profile indicated a gradual deterioration. In January she was absent 10% of the time, February 17%, March 38% and in April she was absent 56% of the time. Rarely are her absences excused. She had been suspended five times in her previous high school for stealing, fighting and smoking (CF2).

**Carmen**, age 18, first born of two girls lives with her mother and stepfather. She is small, thin, fair skinned with long hair. She was shy, soft spoken and polite during the activities. She appeared fragile and unhappy. She did state she did not get along with her step father and wanted to live with her father.

Carmen, a Junior, has failed two classes. Her GPA is 2.03 out of a possible 4.0. She was tested for special education, retained in first grade and assigned to "Title 1 Disadvantaged Resource Room" for two years in elementary school. Carmen is presently passing all six classes.

Her attendance profile indicates she is absent about a quarter of the time. Her absences are often excused by her mother. She usually chooses fifth or sixth period to be absent. Carmen had a short term suspension for "cutting" (CF1).

**Jaime**, age 16, the first born of three children lives with both parents. He is solidly built, dark, handsome, tall and looks older than he is. He has tattoos on his hands and upper right arm. He disclosed membership in a "gang." He was reticent to speak at first, but within 15 minutes he assumed a leadership role, commanding respect from the other participants. He was witty, funny and gentle. He wore clean, brand-name, hip-hop, baggy clothing.

He is a sophomore with only .55 credits out of 9 credits. His GPA is .53. Jaime participated in Chapter I programs and was repeatedly labeled "at risk" in elementary school. He was recommended for retention in grade 8, but was promoted. This is his 3rd high school. He is presently failing all six classes.

His attendance profile indicated a non-attendance pattern. He was absent 73 out of 90 times possible during April 1994. He never attends first, fourth, fifth or sixth period. He was identified as having behavioral problems as early as first grade. Jaime was suspended 7 times in middle school for fighting, disruptive conduct, swearing in Spanish at a teacher, and expelled for "hitting a teacher with a chair." Teacher allegedly called him a "dirty Mexican." He appealed the expulsion and was reinstated. Records were not available from two of the high schools he attended. One of the high schools was an alternative school for "problem" students. He was assigned to this school after being expelled from another high school. During the study he was given a short term suspension that was appealed, overruled and changed to an emergency suspension. He was "charged" with disruptive conduct, non-attendance, disobedience and failure to identify himself. He was also given a short term suspension for fighting (CM2).

### **Feelings of Disempowerment and Alienation**

Students perceived school as a place where adults held power and control over students who were "nobodies." They felt the classroom was a setting where teachers taught their lesson. The following student comments indicate that they did not argue for equal power, rather agreed teachers had to have quiet and control to teach their lessons.

"They come in with their own priorities, their agenda on how to run the class. Teachers want their power. Kids are supposed to just sit there and do their work while they grade papers and read school stuff" (CM2).

"Teachers have to have it their way. It's their classroom. They should have the right to teach their class" (CF1).

"They (teachers) want to show that they are in charge because if they are not in charge that's weakness and weakness means no respect" (CM1).

"Well, kids just have to do their work and don't talk" (CF2).

They asserted that teachers don't want to waste their time on kids whom they think are "bad," don't attend regularly and are going to act-up and flunk anyway. Students stated:

"She (teacher) doesn't think Joe (not a real name) is smart. She just thinks of him as someone who's going to flunk anyway" (CM1).

"Some teachers pick on certain persons. Some teachers want to solve the problem but the rest just want to get them out of class" (CM2).

"Teachers expect good behavior and other teachers don't care. They just want to teach lesson and kick or ignore the bad kids out" (CF1).

"I don't do it (assignments) because the teachers keep telling me I'm gonna flunk. So why do it. And it's true, so I don't go to class and I don't do the work" (CF2).

Since the teachers' goal was to teach their lesson, their perception of the teachers' solution to classroom conflict was to kick the students out, not waste time listening to the problem and get on with the teaching their lesson. Jaime expressed his feelings stating:

"Sometimes I think they don't have time because they want to teach the class. They don't want to deal with an individual kid and they think the kid always lies even before the kid talks. Its saves their time to just kick them out" (CM2).

However, they did think that teachers not listening to the students' side was unfair. Carmen summarized the students' perceptions saying:

"They don't listen to the kids' side. They judge you by the way you look. Like you can't do anything. They think you can't express any worthwhile behavior" (CM1).

They viewed administrators, pressured by teachers, wanting to keep teachers satisfied. Students' were not heard nor seen as important.

"They (teachers) put her (principal) on the spot. They talk bad about us and gave the principal a different impression and told her she should punish us more than what she was gonna do. That's putting pressure. She didn't listen to our side. She didn't take into consideration what we said about what happened before the teacher came and if she could put all the stuff together and get us expelled. That's what she wanted to do. All that stuff the reason I think she brought out my past was so she could have more of a reason to kick me out cuz what I did wasn't enough. She didn't like me as it is cuz I'm one of those kids that don't go to class and she wanted to get us in trouble. I had done things more serious than being caught in the hall so I felt like I wasn't judged right. I felt I was punished for something petty. I was suspended for something everyone does every single day. They only listened to the teachers" (CM2).

According to students' perceptions, it is the teacher who starts the disciplinary process by reporting students, and it is the teacher who ultimately is heard, believed and affirmed. It is the teacher's classroom that is disrupted. They repeatedly described feeling of alienation with statements such as:

**Jaime**

"I'm not a school kid. Never been. I'm not connected with what happens in school. Yea, yea, nobody, nobody? We're nobodies (he laughs). What happens in class... umm... I don't feel powerful (in school). It's not my real life. It's boring stuff. I don't fit it. At night I say to myself 'I'm going to school' then I get up, dress and go kick it with my friends. They judge you by your looks. Plus they give you a look that lets you know they don't want you in their class. You're just a kid that's there. Or, it's the way they talk to you like if you ask them to help you they won't discuss it. They say 'Ask your neighbor' or 'It's already been explained'" (CM2).

**Carmen**

"Nothing has really ever happened to me that ever really felt good. I just go to school and it's the same ol' thing day after day. There's some teachers that cherish some students more over others and they don't treat you the same. They always believe the teacher over the student even though that shouldn't happen like that" (CF1).

**Nina**

"I just hate school I guess. Most of the time I don't even go. Me and my friends just go sit outside and stuff. I want to pass, but then when I get there (to class) I don't care. Cuz school's not for me. I don't do the work. I probably won't ever graduate. I don't care anymore. Once I went to this teacher for help. She act like she don't even care cuz I'm flunking and so what, she's not all that either" (CF2).

**Miguel**

"Teachers are the top dogs. Nobody asks me what I think. I'm a bad kid. I've always been bad. I'm bad in school. Nothing good has happened to me (in school) cuz I haven't done nothing good like to be rewarded for. Basically, if they don't like you, it doesn't matter what you do so why waste your time trying. If they don't like you they can change the rules so you get busted. Sometimes I act bad just for fun, everybody laughs." (CM1)

In the classroom with their "favorite teachers" students arrived late, left for long periods of time, sat and talked without engaging in the task or isolated themselves holding books as if reading but having eye contact with the space in front of them. In the elementary grades their school records revealed students labeled "at risk" and assigned to special education and Chapter 1 classes for "disadvantaged" students, retention or threats of retention appeared in Middle school along with poor attendance, low CAT test scores and low grade point averages. For the male students disciplinary problems began as early as first grade. Records were not available for one of the females and the other was tested for special education in the primary grades and retained in first grade. High school records indicated low CAT scores, low grade

point averages, and established patterns of nonattendance. Teachers described these students as nice kids who are not attending, are isolated, passive or are unable to connect education with the skills needed for future employment. The following teacher's perception of the students' behavior indicate a pattern of alienation.

**Miguel's teacher** is an older, White, female, science teacher. She appeared uncomfortable talking to me. At first she didn't want to be observed but changed her mind. In the classroom students were assigned questions at the end of the chapter. Miguel changed seats to be closer to a friend and chatted. He didn't take off his coat or hat. He did not take out his book, paper or pencil.

She kept repeating: "I can't comment, he's never here. Most of the time he arrives ten minutes before the bell rings. He has no behavior because he is never here. I don't think he can possibly pass. He is a good boy. He is not loud."

Miguel reflected: "She's afraid to confront kids because the situation will get worse. She's OK to me. I can sit where I want, but I'm not gonna pass" (CM1).

**Nina's teacher** is an older, White, male language arts teacher. He was willing to discuss Nina and felt students and teachers share the problem of discipline and non-achievement equally. He seemed frustrated with the system and didn't feel he had time to deal with students like Nina. She arrived in class about 20 minutes late and sat apart from the class at a TA table. Students were reading and working in small groups. The teacher approached her and tried to get her to read or do something. She was polite but did not engage in any classroom activity. She took out a personal note from her book bag and read it.

He stated: "She has a lot of absences. She always isolates herself, but she's not disruptive. She can't stick to things. I have a personal rapport with her but so far it hasn't produced anything. I don't force her to do anything."

Nina commented: "I'm always late or don't come at all. He's cool. He doesn't stress. I know I'm not passing cuz I don't do school work and stuff. I don't know why maybe cuz it's boring" (CF2).

**Carmen's teacher** is a young, White, male, science teacher. He was pleased and surprised to be chosen by Carmen. He seemed self-assured and positive. In class Carmen was attentive and answered questions. The class changed activities: started with discussion, film and ended with small group work. During the discussion group Carmen asked to be dismissed. She went to the bathroom and was gone for about 15 minutes missing the entire group activity. She returned just before the bell rang. He described Carmen: "She's passive. She's not loud and actually easy to teach. She doesn't need to be the focus of attention."

Carmen said: "I always get along with my teachers. I always ask to go out to get some space or if it's boring, but if they say no, I don't make a big deal. I just don't go" (CF1).

**Jaime's teacher** is a middle-aged, White, female teacher. She was positive and willing to share her perception about Jaime. She wanted to do whatever was necessary to help him succeed. The class was reading silently. In class Jaime was isolated and quiet. He pretended to read.

She said: "Jaime doesn't seem to value school. He is intelligent. I try to encourage him. About 6 months ago he told me he had family problems and was going to quit school. If he comes everyday and does his assignments he can earn a 'C.'"

Jaime agreed: "She's always glad to see me when I come. She tries to see what you see so she can help you out. I just need to come" (CM2).

In the initial interview when asked for their best and worse moments, none of the students' responses were connected with school even though additional probes addressed "school" specifically. All four students' initial response on 'the best thing that happened to you' was "nothing" revealing that nothing good has happened to them at school. The responses to 'the worse thing that happened to you' dealt with interpersonal problems with peers at school.

In the focus group and final interviews students revealed how they are often told they have no chance of passing the class. They felt that trying to pass was useless, so why bother. As a result, students who were failing and feeling worthless, or who had a reputation of being "bad" had nothing to do in school but to "act-up," thus entertaining their peers with their antics and frustrating the teacher. No one, teachers and peers, believed that these students can "express any worthwhile behavior" (CF1). Students perceived that once a bad reputation was established teachers and peers alike expected and even demanded that they act in that manner. They perceived teachers used their past to "get them for any little thing" (CM1) whether they were responsible or not in order to remove them from the classroom. They also felt their peer expected them to make the class "live" by creating minor, funny disturbances that would ultimately get them referred and removed from the class. In addition, students felt that teachers liking them or not liking them determined how teachers used their power over them. Students concluded that teachers had more power over students they dislike. Feeling that the teacher didn't like them, in turn, caused students to disrespect teachers giving them tacit justification to disrupt these teachers' classrooms. If students thought teachers liked them, they would not disrespect them even when teachers' behavior was judged unfair. Student comments follow:

"Once you get enough respect for a teacher its like a friend. I'm not gonna cuz them out. It's just respect. You just know that teacher is interested in you" (CM2).

"If kids don't want a teacher to control them, they just can't. No one just obeys someone. I don't care who they are. But if I know a teacher cares about me ... I don't say nothing, but there's only one teacher like that for me" (CF1).

"Some kids just can't sit in a class day after day and do boring work, so they rank. I usually laugh when kids mess around and do stuff to make the teacher mad. Teachers don't want to waste their time with the bad kids. They just want to waste their time with the good kids" (CM1).



"Kids aren't the main thing in school, the teacher are. If you have a bad reputation they believe the teacher and kids think what's the use they're gonna believe the teacher anyway. They don't hear the kid, maybe not always, but most of the time and not even sometimes. I ... well I don't really care anymore...." (CF2).

### Levels of Perception

Students established that the substance of the misbehavior is perceived differently by themselves and teachers because they claim teachers do not listen to their side of the story. The important issues to students, such as who started it, why they are questioning the teacher's stance and what their reaction means, are misunderstood due to lack of communication, miscommunication, or the teacher's refusal to spend time on the disciplinary issue.

However, students also perceived differences on a higher level of abstraction according to the structure of the disciplinary event. Students dealt with the specificity of the act, or in their words the "pieces" of what happened as opposed to teachers viewing the act as a 'whole case' to show that the students deserve to be punished. According to student perception, teachers know you have been "bad" so they present a case that is believable. Teachers disregard all the specific components, thus, in the students' view, are essentially lying in order to strengthen their case. Students do not think this teacher behavior is necessarily intentional, but rather it is "the teacher's way of seeing things" (CM1). Students on the other hand, trying to be heard, are not arguing the fact that they might have been bad but are questioning the inconsistencies in the teachers' case that might exonerate them. For example, a student's version of "truth" described the teachers' perception of the act prior to a suspension for being in the hall and disturbing a class:

"...cause she (teacher) knew we were doing wrong and all the little pieces where she lied didn't matter. She thought we shouldn't have been in the hall in the first place so she told how she seen everything and that who they believed didn't matter and it didn't matter if it didn't fit. She just had her puzzle together and me and Sam had all the pieces which were the truth. But the teacher was so caught up in that we did something wrong that she . . . nobody would listen. We could have solved something. We would have been able to work something out" (CM2).

The students felt that the truth as they knew it, such as the door being open, versus them opening it, the disturbance taking place only yesterday not today and yesterday, and two people involved not three would lessen the severity, thus impacting the type and amount of punishment.

### Perceived Injustices in the Application of Rules

Students perceived teachers treating students differently when different sets of values, attitudes and beliefs were held by students and teachers. For example, the students said that if teachers do not like the way you look (hair, clothing style and ethnicity) they will pick on you and hold you accountable for actions that are acceptable for other students in the class. A typical example was that "bad" ethnic minority students were tagged and punished for breaking rules such as talking, not doing work, and arriving tardy without acceptable excuses, while other students doing the same thing were overlooked by the teacher. If the "bad" student defended themselves and tried to explain or demand just treatment, this often escalated into major confrontations. At that point the disciplined students would often curse at the teacher causing their removal from the classroom.

Students perceived this type of rule changing as a control device used by the teacher to either get rid of students who do not do work and disturb the class or as the exercise of the power inherent in the teacher's role against students they do not like. For example, Jaime and Miguel were suspended and ultimately given an emergency expulsion (emergency expulsions are usually given to students who fight, threaten a teacher, or have a weapon or drugs in school) for being in the hall, talking to girls and disturbing a class. Jaime stuck his tongue out at the teacher to make the girls laugh. Since what the boys did was considered by to be minor in comparison to the punishment received, Carmen concluded that they were punished on their past reputation, not being liked by staff and on the way they looked:

"You're known as being suspended so they'll just suspend you again. It ain't fair. She doesn't like Jaime. Oh I get it. It sounds she doesn't like Jaime by the way he looks. They judge you by the way you look, the way you dress, the way you carry yourself. Look at Jaime. Look at the way he looks. He doesn't look "White" so to her he don't look nice. He doesn't look respectful. She's not, well, White people will see him as a Mexican (she laughs). It makes me mad, just because of the way he looks, they think he's a menace to society just for knocking on the door and talking to some stupid girl" (CF1).

Students felt that if teachers would interact more with students by changing teaching strategies or learning activities there would not be a need to have so many rules that are indiscriminately applied. For example:

Carmen: "Why don't they just make learning fun to begin with... who can sit there and do those stupid dittos over and over or..."

- Miguel: "In some classes all we do is write the answers in the back of the chapters, daah.. that's real fun."
- Carmen: "We could have fun like get into groups and do stuff, no they just want us to sit there dead."
- Miguel: "Like in 'x class.' She jokes around, people work in groups people can move around and stuff and man like serious teachers like man its so boring so kids do stuff just to make it live. Kids have to make it fun. They rank on the teacher and you have to laugh when that happens and the teacher gets mad and the whole class is doing nothing."
- Carmen: "That ain't right to rank for no reason why can't you just do your work?"
- Miguel: "But everyone aint the same. There are a lot of differences but the teachers want everyone the same and stuff. I don;t know."
- Carmen: "But still that's not right. What if there are more people that do want to do the work and some just are always messing around?"
- Chewie: "That's too bad."
- Carmen: "Why is that too bad? They come to school to learn."
- Miguel: "I guess that's why teachers kick them out. I think it would be easier to make the class work fun. I mean just to make the class more funner so people don't have to get kicked out. Everyday people get kicked out, mostly the same people nobody cares. Ya, and you know what, like if you get a suspension, like me that makes it worse. I don't even want to come back to class afterwards. Like Jaime, he used to come to school every day even brought his notebook and then he missed one day and then he didn't come for a couple weeks. That's why Jaime and me don't even want to go to class, and then we start going and getting used to it, and one, two, three, they kick us out!"
- Jaime: "That's right like when you go to school, you're on a roll. You're going to school. You're doing good and then something pops at school and you get in trouble in school and then you get a problem at school there are those kinds of kids that if you miss a day, you think well I'll miss just one more day and then another pretty soon you just don't come."
- Nina: "Some teachers don't even care if you don't come."
- Jaime: "Most of my teachers aren't like that they say things "Oh, I'm glad you're here" and stuff."

When Miguel was probed regarding having "activities in the class" he said:

"It's like they don't want to do activities and stuff because they have to, you know, think of all the things to do and stuff. It's easier for them to grade when we do stuff out of the book" (CM1).

### **Knowledge of the Disciplinary Consequence**



During the probing, students were asked if they were aware of the consequence of their actions when they made decisions not to comply with the teachers' directives. Students knew what would happen. For example if they talked during Saturday school, they knew it meant a three day suspension, or if they chose to curse a teacher out, it meant suspension. However, knowledge of the consequence did not determine the choice of action taken by the students. Factors such as being with their friends, supporting their friends, defending themselves, maintaining a sense of self respect and fighting for what they felt was just were stronger influences than the forthcoming penalty.

They stated that when the issue was racial, they chose to back down and seemingly do nothing. The reason for this behavior was fear of getting too agitated as to act in a way that would endanger them. For example, they did not want to slap or hit the teacher who called Chicano students "Dirty Mexicans," or deal with what they perceived to be intentional racial slurs on the part of adults, such as "your people are on welfare and sell drugs" or "if you're here illegally...." They thought they would be out of control, hit the teacher and end up in jail. Instead they chose to keep the anger inside or tell their parents of the incident.

Jaime was expelled for throwing a chair at a teacher who called him a "dirty Mexican." He seemed aware it was best to control the impulse to strike back when really angry. Nina explained her feelings in this manner:

"I can tell when people are prejudiced. Most of the time I don't care cuz of who they are, anyways it still gets me mad. But I don't do anything when I'm really mad. Like when that drivers ed teacher was saying all that stuff like welfare and selling drugs, because if I hit him I'll just go to jail" (CM2).

## DISCUSSION

The simplicity and honesty inherent in the students' perceptions are not only powerful, but clearly indicative of the cultural discontinuity operating within the school climate among diverse students and teachers. Students perceiving educators as uninformed, uncaring and insensitive may be a contributing factor to discipline being identified by researchers as the number one problem affecting schools.

Cultural discontinuities in teacher/student relationships were evident when contrasting the interpersonal needs. Students liking and not liking teachers, communication, and the need

for mutual respect appeared to be more important to these students than what they perceived as the school's focus on teaching the lesson, control and power when conflict occurred. They felt teachers considered it a waste of time to deal with disciplinary issues. They thought teachers with positive, interpersonal relationships with them influenced not only disruptive behavior but determined decisions whether to control their behavior. It was interesting to note that the two students who were involved in a greater number and higher degree of severity in disciplinary actions, both male and female, were physically "big," dark-skinned, with clearly defined, minority ethnic features; thus, perhaps they were considered intimidating or unintentionally pre-judged.

These students' perceptions and school records added to the literature which has found a causal relationship between students with low academic achievement and who do not engage in classroom activities with students who often experience disciplinary problems (Doyle, 1986). The four students in this study all had or have both low achievement outcomes and disciplinary problems.

This research concurs with Williams' (1992) finding that often there appears to be a lack of consistency in determining and matching the severity of the punishment to the actual infraction. Perhaps this accounts for the increase in the number of appeals resulting in modifications, mediations and reversals of administrative initiated suspensions and expulsions in the urban district where this study took place. Students in this study, when disciplined, were not always given oral or written notice of the charges nor were parents informed prior to sending student home. They perceived that they were penalized because of a prior "reputation" as disruptive students. Punishment was, at times, unduly harsh. Rudeness and disrespect toward an adult usually resulted in one day suspension. There appeared to be no accountability for the behavior of the teachers and administrator, which was a concern of these students.

In the school where the study took place, writing and submitting a referral to an administrator for disciplinary action was the typical mode of discipline for classroom teachers when they identified students as being out of control. The decision to refer a student was left totally to the discretion of the individual who witnesses the infraction, usually the teacher. According to student perceptions this resulted in different treatments for students based on

teachers' level of comfort with inappropriate behavior, their values, prejudices and personal judgement of who looked "bad."

Agreeing with Garza-Lubeck (1992), the students in this study identified poor classroom management, boring instructional content, as well as rude, negative behavior on the part of the student as factors causing confrontations. Students in this study reported that personally receiving or seeing others receive referrals were daily occurrences.

### **SIGNIFICANCE**

Some researchers (Finn, 1989; Williams, 1992) use empirical data that documents the pejorative outcomes of disproportional disciplinary actions taken against ethnic minorities to justify the need for school reform or to define its ineffectiveness. This study's contribution to scholarly efforts uses high school Chicano students' perceptions to help understand from their own perspectives why a disproportionate amount of disciplinary action is directed toward them. This unit of analysis has rarely been used in previous research. These students' stories of how they cope and why they choose to act in 'socially unacceptable' ways may provide general important information that can be useful in future theory building and to reform school practices. Using the cultural discontinuity theory as a critical part of the conceptual framework for studying discipline in the classroom may extend it to include social learning as well as other dimensions of learning.

Since the purpose of this study was to identify student perceptions in regard to disciplinary actions experienced and witnessed by them, the results, may assist educators in ameliorating confrontations, decrease the suspension and expulsion rate and improve the overall quality of education for ethnically and culturally diverse students. It adds another interpretive lens and unit of analysis to the growing body of qualitative research from an "insiders' perspective" that is examining the effects of language, social, cultural and ethnic variables on educational opportunities and outcomes for different groups of students. This includes researchers who are sensitive to the needs of ethnic minority students, committed to their achieving equity of educational outcome, and are studying participants and communities that are similar to their own origin and cultural orientations. By using conversation groups, this study purposefully employed qualitative research methodology that is culturally relevant to the

preferred learning, social and cultural styles of the participants and the researcher. Foster (1991) states that although there is no consensus that minority scholars are better equipped to study ethnic minority groups, of greater significance is that insiders are joining outsiders in addressing the improvement of schooling.

This study has directly affected the behavior of the students. For example, due to the focus group and interpersonal contact with the researcher and the researcher with the home, two of the four students have dramatically improved their attendance and have verbalized a change in their overall attitude toward learning. This may be an example of an interventionist approach to qualitative research (Foster 1991). In her edited volume of qualitative investigations by scholars of color and women, three of the fourteen studies intervened in the lives of the participants and thus served as initiators for action or "catalysts for change" (p. 3). Perhaps dialogue among students and with students requires reflective thinking, which King (1991) argues is "at the heart of the development of critical consciousness and forms of knowledge that serve social action" (p. 265).

## REFERENCES

- Au, K. H. (1994). Literacy instruction in multicultural settings. New York: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Boykin, W. (1986). The Triple quandary and the schooling of Afro-American children. In U. Neisser (Ed.) The School achievement of minority children: New perspectives. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Boykin, W. (1994). Afro-cultural expression and its implications for schooling. In E. Hollins, J. E. King, & Hayman, W. C. (Eds.). Teaching diverse populations: Formulating a knowledge base (pp. 243-274). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Brophy, J., & Good, T. (1983). Research on the self-fulfilling prophecy and teacher expectations. Journal of Educational Psychology, 75, 631-661.
- Brophy, J., & Good, T. (1986). Teacher behavior and student achievement. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.) Handbook on research on teaching (3rd ed., pp. 328-375) New York: Macmillan.
- Byers, N., Pessy, Y. & Wilcox, J. R. (1991). Focus groups: A qualitative opportunity for researchers. Journal for Business Communications, 28 (1), 63-78.
- Bryman, A. (1988). Quantity and quality in social research. Boston: Unwin Hyman.
- Charles, C. M. (1989). Building classroom discipline. 3rd edition. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Chickering, A.W. & Reisser, L., (2nd ed.) (1993). Education and Identity. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Cole, M., & Scribner, S. (1974). Culture and thought: A psychological introduction. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Cruickshank, D. (1981). What we know about teachers' problems. Educational Leadership, 38, 402-405.
- Denzin, N. K. (1970). The research act. Chicago, IL: Aldine Publishing Co.

- Doyle, W. (1986). Classroom organization and management. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.) Handbook on research on teaching (3rd ed., pp. 392-431) New York: Macmillan.
- Elam, S. M., Lowell, C. R., & Gallup, A. M. (1993). The 25th annual Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa poll of the public's attitudes toward the public schools. Phi Delta Kappan 75 (2), 137-152.
- Fielding, N. (1988). Actions and structure: Research methods and social theory. London: Sage Publications.
- Fielding, N. & Fielding, J. L. (1986). Linking data. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Fine, M. (1986). Why urban adolescents drop into and out of public high school? Teachers College Record, 87 (3), 393-409.
- Finn, J. (1989). Withdrawing from school. Review of Educational Research, 59, 117-142.
- Florio, S. & Schultz, J. (1979) Social competencies at home and at school. Theory into Practice, 18, 234-243.
- Foster, M. (1991). "Just got to find a way" Case studies of the lives and practice of exemplary Black high school teachers. In M. Foster (Ed.), Volume 11: Qualitative investigations into schools and schooling (pp. 273-309). New York: AMS Press Inc.
- Garza-Lubeck, M. (1992). A Student pulled a knife: the contributing circumstances and aftermath. Equity & Excellence 24 (4), 41-50.
- Gay, G. (1981). Interactions in culturally pluralistic classrooms. In J. Banks (Ed.) Education in the 80's: Multiethnic education (pp. 42-53). National Education Association, Washington, DC.
- Gay, G (1994). At the essence of learning: Multicultural education. West Lafayette, Indiana: Kappa Delta Pi, an International Honor Society in Education.
- Glaser, B. G. (1969). Constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. In G. J McCall & J. L Simmons (Eds.). Issues in participant observations (pp. 216-228). Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Graham, S. & Hudley, C. (1992). An attributional approach to aggression in African American children. In D. H. Schunk & J. L. Meece (Eds.). Student perceptions in the classroom (pp. 3-23). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Hamilton, S. F. (1986). Raising standards and reducing dropout rates. Teacher's College Record, 87 (3), 410-429.

- Hendershott, A & Wright, S. (1993). Student focus groups and curriculum review. Teaching Sociology, 21 (2), 154-159.
- King, J. E. & Michell, C. A. (1990). Black mothers to sons: Juxtaposing African American literature with social practice. New York: Peter Lang Publishing.
- Lerich, L. (1992). The sociology of classroom discipline. The High School Journal, Dec./Jan, 77-89.
- Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A. M. (1984). Qualitative data analysis: A sourcebook of new methods. London: Sage Publications.
- Mitchell, D.E. and Spady, W.G. (1983). Authority, Power and the Legitimation of Social Control. Educational Administration Quarterly, 19, 5-33.
- Nieto, S. (1992). Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education. New York: Longman.
- Oakes, J. (1985). Keeping track: How schools structure inequality. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (1991). How college affects students: Findings and insights from twenty years of research. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Phelan, W. (1987). Obstacles to high school graduation: The real dropout problem. Journal of Educational Equity and Learning, 7(3), 223-234.
- Quay, H. C. (1987). Intelligence. In H. C. Quay (Ed.), Handbook of juvenile delinquency (pp. 106-117). New York: Wiley.
- Reed, R. (1988). Education and achievement of young Black males. In J. T. Gibbs (Ed.), Young Black, and male in America: An endangered species (pp. 37-96). Dover, MA: Auburn House.
- Ritz, R. (1970). Social class and teacher expectations: The self-fulfilling prophecy in ghetto education. Harvard Educational Review, 40, 411-451.
- Rosenthal, R., & Jacobson, L. (1968). Pygmalion in the classroom: Teacher expectation and pupil's intellectual development. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Sapp, M. M., & Temares, M. C.. (1993). Using focus groups to clarify customer needs. New Directions for Institutional Research, 79, 79-82.

- Schunk, D. H. (1992) Theory and research on student perceptions in the classroom. In D. H. Schunk & J. L. Meece (Eds.). Student perceptions in the classroom (pp. 3-23). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Schunk, D. H. & Meece, J. L. (Eds.). (1992). Student perceptions in the classroom. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers..
- Shade, B. J. (1994). Understanding the African American learner. Understanding the African American learner. In E. Hollins, J. E. King, & Hayman, W. C. (Eds.). Teaching diverse populations: Formulating a knowledge base (pp. 175-190). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Silverman, D. (1993). Interpreting qualitative data: Methods for analyzing talk, text and interaction. London: Sage Publications.
- Spindler, G. (1963). Education and culture: Anthropological approaches. New York: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Spradley (1979). Ethnographic interview. New York, NY: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Velez, W. (1989). High school attrition among Hispanic and non-Hispanic White youths. Sociology of Education 62, 119-133.
- Wigfield, A. & Harold, R. D. (1992) Teachers' beliefs and children's achievement self perceptions: A developmental perspective. In D. H. Schunk & J. L. Meece (Eds.). Student perceptions in the classroom (pp. 95-122). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Williams, J. (1992). Reducing the disproportionately high frequency of disciplinary actions against minority students. Equity and Excellence, 24 (2), 31-37